

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
9 AT SEATTLE

10 STEVEN VANCE, et al.,

11 Plaintiffs,

12 v.

13 MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

14 Defendant.


CASE NO. C20-1082JLR

ORDER

15 Before the court is Plaintiffs Steven Vance and Tim Janecyk’s (collectively,
16 “Plaintiffs”) motion to seal Exhibits 12 and 23 to the Declaration of Nicholas R. Lange
17 and an unredacted version of their opposition to Defendant Microsoft Corporation’s
18 (“Microsoft”) renewed motion for summary judgment that refers to those documents.
19 (Mot. to Seal (Dkt. # 134); *see* MSJ Opp. (Dkt. # 132 (redacted), Dkt. # 135 (sealed, with
20 Exhibits 12 and 23 attached)); Lange Decl. (Dkt. # 132-1).) Plaintiffs filed the exhibits
21 and an unredacted version of their opposition brief provisionally under seal because
22 Microsoft had designated the exhibits “confidential” pursuant to the parties’ stipulated

1 protective order. (Mot. to Seal at 1; *see* Stip. Prot. Order (Dkt. # 42).) Microsoft does
2 not object to unsealing the exhibits and agrees that they may be filed publicly. (Mot. to
3 Seal Resp. (Dkt. # 136).) Accordingly, the court DENIES Plaintiffs' motion to seal (Dkt.
4 # 134) and DIRECTS the Clerk to unseal Plaintiffs' unredacted opposition brief and
5 Exhibits 12 and 23 (Dkt. # 135).

6 Dated this 11th day of July, 2022.

7
8 

9 JAMES L. ROBART
10 United States District Judge
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22